

Comment on Hayama Proposal

Fei TENG

Institute of Energy, Environment and Economy
Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

tengfei@tsinghua.edu.cn

Scenarios for Durban and Future

	KP	LCA
S1	1, No agreement on 2nd commitment period 2, Continuation of KP rules and mechanisms? 3, Gap between 1st CP and 2nd CP	1, COP decision in Durban but without substantial movement; 2, Without clear direction to future legally binding agreement;
S2	1, Agree on 2nd CP but without numbers; 2, Agree on numbers and rules after Durban;	1, Reflect NAMAs in COP decisions; 2, Elaborate MRV in future decisions;
S3	1, Amendment for KP 2nd CP agreed; 2, Amendment for accounting rules, procedures and mechanisms;	1, Reflect NAMAs in COP decisions; 2. MRV decisions; 2, Mandate towards a legally binding agreement;

Key Issues

- Linkage and balance between two tracks
 - Direction: Towards emerging one track or two tracks?
 - Timing: What can be achieved at and after Durban?
 - Gaps: what exists if there is gap between 1st CP and 2nd CP?

Way Out

- S1 means no agreement for many years;
- Political agreement on continuation of 2nd CP is important for moving to S2 world;
- Separate political issues (continuation of 2nd CP) from technical issues (numbers in Annex B);
- Japan could be one of key developed countries to send this positive political signal to move negotiation forward without taking risk;