

Climate Mobility in the Asia-Pacific: Lessons Learned from National and Regional Responses

Miki Fukuda Chief Policy Researcher Integrated Sustainability Centre, IGES



Understanding Climate Mobility and Security

- "Climate Mobility" is an umbrella concept for diverse movements (displacement, migration, and planned relocation, immobility/staying in place).
- Traditional Perspective: Uncoordinated human displacement to raise security concerns for individuals and communities (UNSG's report, 2009).
- Current focus: Broader threats to social structure, cultural identity, and economic potential → Pacific Regional Framework on Climate Mobility (PIF, 2023)





The Legal Gap for 'Climate Refugees'

 Climate-induced migrants are not legally recognized as 'refugees' under the 1951 Convention.

• Example: Ioane Teitiota (Kiribati) claim for refugee status

in New Zealand was rejected in 2013.

 Major Step: The ICJ Advisory Opinion in July 2025 (Vanuatu-led) confirmed the non-refoulement principle (no forced return to danger).

 Status: Not yet legally binding, but a significant shift.



Photo: Pacific Islands Students Fighting Climate Change



Our Research: Purpose, Questions, and Scope

Purpose:

 Provide policy recommendations for better preparedness through national, bilateral, and regional approaches.

Key Questions:

- What is the current shape of multi-level governance?
- What are the national and regional policy experiences?

Scope: Origin (Fiji, Tuvalu, Bangladesh, Thailand) and Recipient (Australia, NZ)

Methods: Desk review, stakeholder interviews, and community surveys





Case Study: Fiji (Planned Relocation)



Vunidogoloa in Vanua Levu, First Fijian village to experience relocation







Case Study: Fiji (Planned Relocation)

Policy Framework:

The Climate Change Act 2021 mandates guidelines for planned relocation

Success requires a holistic approach:

 Economic Stability: Sustainable livelihood and market access in the new location

 Cultural Retention: Supporting traditional lifestyle and community components (e.g., church)

Carefully planned relocation with appropriate follow-up is vital for community well-being and conflict resolution.



Regional Approaches (I): Australia



Photo: X (Twitter) post of AUS Prime Minister Anthony Albanese (10 November 2023)





Regional Approaches (I): Australia

- Engagement driven by both **responsibility** and strategic **security interests** (e.g., regional influence).
- The Falepili Union (with Tuvalu): Offers a migration pathway and security cooperation. (FY2025: 8750 ballot registrations for 280 visas)
- **Key Goal**: Explicitly aims to support **human mobility with dignity** for Tuvaluans, safeguarding their future, identity, and culture.
- c.f., Pacific Engagement Visa (PEV): Pacific Migrants to fill labour shortages (agriculture, care), gaining skills, and sending remittances for home-country adaptation.





Regional Approaches (II): New Zealand

- The Pacific Access Category (PAC): Visa grants up to 650 annual permanent residents (Fiji, Kiribati, Tonga, Tuvalu) since the 1990s.
 - → Already existing Pacific communities, serving as the hub and safety net.
- Though often viewed as "humanitarian," strict requirements (age, job offer, etc.) are criticized for excluding the most vulnerable.
- Policy Shift: NZ withdrew a 2017 "experimental humanitarian visa" proposal after 6 months. Pacific people prioritize emission reduction and adaptation aid.
- Current Approach: Integrating climate response into existing labor schemes and development aid (adaptation).



Japan's Role and Perspective

- Japan has a time buffer against immediate mass migration. Future arrivals will be driven by a combination of climate and economic/educational pulls.
- Current policies often focus on short-term post-disaster relief; we need anticipatory adaptation for (im)mobility and (in)voluntary displacement.
- Develop domestic acceptance policies that ensure human dignity, cultural respect, and not limited to economic prosperity.
- Align ODA to enhance resilience in origin countries (preventing brain drain/adaptation failure), and coordinate with Australia and NZ, and ASEAN on regional security and aid effectiveness.



Key Findings and Conclusion



- 1. Complex Drivers: Mobility is rarely climate-only; it involves economic and educational aspirations.
- 2. Human-Centered Approach: Need to respect culture, identity, and social structure and justice; avoiding "refugee" or simple "labor force" framing.
- 3. Anticipatory Policy: Focus must shift from short-term disaster relief to anticipatory adaptation for human security (displacement / (im)mobility).
- → Countries in Asia may need higher policy attention; learn from early Pacific planned relocation experiences.
- → Japan and partner countries could co-develop holistic policies covering mobility acceptance and adaptation support of the countries of origin.

ご清聴ありがとうございました。

Thank you very much for your attention.

IGES Institute for Global Environmental Strategies 公益財団法人 地球環境戦略研究機関